REMARKS

Claims remaining in the present application are 1-33. The Applicants

respectfully request reconsideration of the above captioned patent application in

view of the remarks presented herein.

Allowable Matter Withdrawn

Applicants note that Claim 16 was indicated as allowable in the Office

Actions of June 13, 2005 and November 28, 2005. In the present rejection,

Claim 16 is rejected. Applicants respectfully note that MPEP § 706.04

indicates, "it is unusual to reject a previously allowed claim" and instructs the

Examiner that "great care" is to "be exercised in authorizing such a rejection."

Applicants regret that Claim 16 currently stands rejected.

35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 15-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being

unpatentable over Pullen et al. (US 20050240844, "Pullen"). Applicants have

carefully reviewed the cited reference and respectfully assert that embodiments

in accordance with the present invention as recited in Claims 15-18 are

patentable over Pullen.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

Group Art Unit: 2863

Applicants respectfully assert that Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest "a method of determining a junction temperature of an integrated circuit ... comprising measuring an ambient temperature in a region proximate to said integrated circuit" as recited by Claim 15.

While Pullen may teach a method of determining a junction temperature, the method taught by Pullen is very much different from that recited in Claim

1. As taught in [0069], the method of Pullen depends upon a "temperature indication generated by (a) thermal sense device <u>on-board</u> each IC" (emphasis added). Applicants respectfully assert that such a temperature measurement by the taught thermal sense device <u>on-board</u> each IC fails to teach or fairly suggest "measuring an <u>ambient</u> temperature in a region proximate to said integrated circuit" as recited by Claim 15.

For this reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 15 overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 15, as taught by Pullen, the "temperature indication generated by (a) thermal sense device <u>on-board</u> each IC" is the <u>only</u> measurement made in the taught process [0069]. As Pullen teaches a process of determining a junction temperature that is limited to

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863 taking on-chip measurements, Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest the recited

limitations of "measuring electrical power utilized by said integrated circuit"

and "accessing a thermal resistance value for said integrated circuit" as recited

by Claim 15.

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 15

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

Further with respect to Claim 15, Pullen teaches that on-IC thermal

sense circuitry 108 "provides an indication proportional to or indicative of ... the

junction temperature" [0030]. In this manner, Pullen teaches determining a

junction temperature by direct measurement, rather than by measuring other

parameters, as recited by Claim 15. In this manner, Pullen actually teaches

away from embodiments of the present invention as recited by Claim 15.

For this further reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 15

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 16-18 overcome the rejections of record by virtue of their dependency, and respectfully solicit allowance of these Claims.

In addition with respect to Claim 16, Applicants respectfully assert that Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest "wherein said determining (a junction temperature) comprises multiplying said thermal resistance value by said electrical power and adding said ambient temperature" as recited by Claim 16. As described in [0030], Pullen teaches a direct measurement of junction temperature. Thus Pullen actually teaches away from the recited calculation recited in Claim 16. While Pullen may teach an equation (Equation 1), Pullen nevertheless fails to teach measuring the parameters necessary to perform such a calculation.

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 16 overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 17, Applicants respectfully assert that Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest "determining a junction temperature of an integrated circuit, said method comprising... measuring electrical power utilized by said integrated circuit... wherein said measuring electrical power

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863

comprises measuring current to said integrated circuit" as recited by Claim 17.

While Pullen may teach that power is dissipated from an IC [0094], Pullen fails

to teach measuring such power. In addition, Pullen fails to teach "measuring

current to said integrated circuit" as a means for measuring such power.

Furthermore, Pullen's teaching of power consumption, limited as it is, is

not taught as part of a method of determining a junction temperature, but

rather it taught in association with a different embodiment, that of

"determining burn-in time." Therefore, even if, arguendo, Pullen did suggest

measuring current to determine power consumption, such suggestion fails to

anticipate the instant claim of determining a junction temperature, as recited

by Claim 17.

For these additional reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 17

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 18, Applicants respectfully assert that

Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest "said thermal resistance value is accessed

from a computer usable media" as recited by Claim 18. Applicants respectfully

assert that Pullen fails to teach or fairly suggest any use, including storage or

6

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

computation, of a thermal resistance value. In fact, the only use of the term in

Pullen is to define a parameter in [0073].

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 18

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-14 and 19-23 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being

allegedly unpatentable over Ando (US 20040111231, "Ando") in view of Cohen

et al. (US 20050088137, "Cohen"). Applicants have reviewed the cited

references and respectfully assert that embodiments in accordance with the

present invention as recited in Claims 1-14 and 19-23 are patentable over Ando

in view of Cohen.

Applicants respectfully assert that the Cohen reference, filed on

September 3, 2004, actually post dates the priority date of the present

application, March 1, 2004. Consequently, Applicants respectfully assert that

Cohen does not qualify as prior art under 35 USC § 103, and that therefore the

rejection is improper.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

Group Art Unit: 2863

For this reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 1-14 and 19-

23 overcome the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of these

Claims.

Applicants recognize a claim of priority in Cohen to a provisional

application. Applicants respectfully assert that if the rejection's intent was to

base the rejection on material disclosed in the provisional application, then the

rejection must cite the provisional application.

Notwithstanding the improper rejection, Applicants respectfully offer the

following arguments.

With respect to Claim 1, Applicants respectfully assert that Ando

actually teaches away from embodiments in accordance with the present

invention that recite "adjusting a body bias voltage of said integrated circuit

under test to achieve a desired junction temperature of said integrated circuit

under test" as recited by Claim 1.

The rejection identifies a temperature monitor circuit calibration test as

8

taught in Ando [0035] as teaching "measuring an ambient temperature

associated with said integrated circuit under test;" as recited by Claim 1.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

The test taught in Ando [0035] depends upon "the internal chip temperature (being) equal to the ambient temperature." Ando seeks to equalize internal and external temperatures, and thereby to calibrate internal temperature measurements based on known external temperatures. If the internal temperature was manipulated by adjusting a body bias voltage as recited, the internal and external (ambient) temperatures would no longer match. Thus, "adjusting a body bias voltage of said integrated circuit under test to achieve a desired junction temperature of said integrated circuit under test" as recited by Claim 1 would be deleterious to Ando's test.

For this reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 1 overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 1, embodiments in accordance with the present invention as recited in Claim 1 recite a system for utilizing power consumption and ambient temperature as inputs to control junction temperature. As recited by Claim 1, power consumption is a controlling input. In contrast, as taught by Ando, power consumption is a controlled output: "the power used by a circuit block can be controlled by controlling its supply voltage" [0022, emphasis added]. Applicants respectfully assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand a fundamental difference between the taught controlling power consumption and the recited controlling junction temperature.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863 Consequently, Ando <u>teaches</u> <u>away</u> from embodiments of the present invention

that recite power consumption as a controlling input as recited by Claim 1.

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 1

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

With respect to Claim 1, the rejection concedes that Ando "does not

mention expressly... said desired operation condition is a desired junction

temperature." Applicants respectfully assert that Cohen fails to correct this

deficiency of Ando.

Applicants respectfully assert that Cohen fails to teach "adjusting a body

bias voltage of said integrated circuit under test to achieve a desired junction

temperature of said integrated circuit under test" as recited by Claim 1. In

contrast, Cohen teaches adjusting frequency and power supply voltage (Figure

1, [0017], [0020], inter alia. Cohen is silent as to adjusting a body bias voltage.

It is appreciated that the taught power supply voltage does not teach or fairly

suggest the recited body bias voltage, as the two voltages are <u>fundamentally</u>

different.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863

For this reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 1 overcomes

the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this Claim.

Further with respect to Claim 1, Applicants respectfully assert that

Cohen fails to teach a method to "achieve a desired junction temperature of said

integrated circuit under test" as recited by Claim 1. In contrast, Cohen teaches

a method "to determine how fast to allow the microprocessor to operate for a

subsequent time period" [0017]. As taught by Cohen, junction temperature is

an input to this method; however, junction temperature is not controlled by this

method.

For this further reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 1

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

Claims 8 and 27 stand rejected with the same arguments presented with

respect to Claim 1. Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 8 and 27

overcome the rejections for at least the rationale previously presented with

respect to Claim 1.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099 Group Art Unit: 2863

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 2-7, 9-14 and 28-33 overcome the rejections of record by virtue of their dependency, and respectfully solicit

allowance of these Claims.

In addition with respect to Claim 4, Applicants respectfully assert that

Ando in view of Cohen fails to teach or fairly suggest the limitation, "measuring

power comprises measuring current to said integrated circuit under test" as

recited by Claim 4. The rejection concedes that Ando does not mention this

limitation expressly.

In ascribing such teaching to Cohen, the rejection cites section [0017].

Applicants respectfully assert that the term "current" as used in section [0017]

refers to "the present time," rather than to an electrical current, as recited in

the instant Claim. The rejection also cites section [0021] as allegedly teaching

the instant limitation. Applicants respectfully assert that the term "current" as

used in section [0017] refers to "thermal current" as taught, rather than to an

electrical current, as recited in the instant Claim.

Furthermore, Cohen teaches determining power by "voltage squared

times the frequency" [0022]. By teaching determining power without measuring

12

current, Cohen fails to suggest the limitation "measuring power comprises

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

measuring current to said integrated circuit under test" as recited by Claim 4,

and fails to correct the deficiency of Ando.

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 4

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 5, Applicants respectfully assert that

Ando in view of Cohen fails to teach or fairly suggest "an operating voltage of

said integrated circuit under test remains fixed during said measuring and said

adjusting" as recited by Claim 5. The rejection alleges that this limitation is

suggested by Ando [0033]. Applicants respectfully traverse. Applicants

respectfully assert that this portion of Ando teaches "both circuits are for body

bias." Applicants respectfully assert that body biasing voltages fail to suggest

operating voltage, as the two voltages are fundamentally different. In addition,

within this section the term "fixed" is applied to a circuit topology, in contrast to

the recited "fixed voltage." Furthermore, this portion actually teaches adjusting

voltages "to a desired value by the programmable select circuit," in contrast to

the recited "fixed" voltage. Thus, this portion, as well as the whole of Ando fails

13

to teach or fairly suggest this recited limitation.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO

Examiner: Sun, X.

Serial No.: 10/791,099

Cohen is not alleged to correct this deficiency of Ando, and Applicants

respectfully assert that it does not.

For this additional reason, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 5

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 19 overcomes the rejections of

record for at least the rationale previously presented with respect to Claim 1,

and respectfully solicit allowance of this Claim.

In addition with respect to Claim 19, Application respectfully assert that

Ando in view of Cohen fails to teach or fairly suggest "reducing temperature

variation among an integrated circuit during burn-in testing" as recited by

Claim 19. Both Ando and Cohen are silent as to the recited burn-in testing, and

thus cannot contain teachings directed to burn-in testing. Furthermore, neither

Ando nor Cohen, alone or in combination, teach anything related to "reducing

temperature variation" as recited by the instant claim. Even if, arguendo, Ando

and/or Cohen teach controlling temperature, these references remain silent as

to "reducing temperature variation."

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

14

Serial No.: 10/791,099

For these additional reasons, Applicants respectfully assert that Claim 19

overcomes the rejections of record, and respectfully solicit allowance of this

Claim.

Applicants respectfully assert that Claims 20-27 overcome the rejections

of record by virtue of their dependency, and respectfully solicit allowance of

these Claims.

TRAN-P283/ACM/NAO Examiner: Sun, X.

Group Art Unit: 2863

Serial No.: 10/791,099

## **CONCLUSION**

Claims remaining in the present application are 1-33. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above captioned patent application in view of the remarks presented herein.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 23-0085.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Date: 8/25/2006

Anthony C. Murabito

Reg. No. 35,295

Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 (408) 938-9060